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Summary

Escalating and pervasive outbreaks of animal diseases are posing considerable challenges to livestock producers, industries, and policy-makers around the globe in a context of steadily rising demand for locally produced and imported livestock products. This paper reviews the factors and trends underpinning the growth in meat trade over the past decade and assesses the impact of animal diseases on international markets. The factors shaping the transmission of the impact of animal disease to global markets and back into domestic markets are identified and the potential global market impact of further animal disease outbreaks evaluated.
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Introduction

The global meat market has witnessed a profound transformation over the past 15 years, with rising incomes, changing consumption and demographic patterns prompting growth in consumption and trade that exceeds those of most other agricultural commodities (Fig. 1). Global meat consumption has increased by nearly three-quarters since 1990, in line with global economic growth. Consumers in both developed and developing countries are requiring a broader diversity and quality of meat cuts, more ease in preparation, and enhanced assurances about product safety.

Declines in feed prices in real terms and increases in productivity, achieved through specialisation, enhanced management and improved processing technologies, over the past decade, have led to progressively higher meat production and lower prices, particularly those of the poultry and pigmeat sectors (Fig. 2a). This is despite the concomitant increase in demand and the challenges posed by changing consumer requirements. This process was facilitated by increased cross-border movement of finance, knowledge and technology that permitted nearly three-quarters of this growth in global meat production to be concentrated in developing countries (Fig. 2b).

Among the major factors that have influenced the global livestock sector over the past few decades, the following are of particular relevance. Many of these factors are expected to continue to shape markets over the next decade.
Structural changes in livestock industries, including improved genetics, upgraded animal housing, and enhanced management

In part these changes are a result of growing cross-border technology and investment flows into meat industries around the globe, particularly in strong growth markets or low-cost production regions. This trend is likely to continue in the future, leading to changing cost structures in industries in developing countries.

Changes in policy environment

Implementation of World Trade Organization (WTO) provisions for meat products over the past decade has led to a reduction in the use of export subsidies and expanding access to various markets. In particular, the transformation of tariff-rate quotas into ad valorem tariffs in many of the strongly growing Asia meat markets has had a significant and positive impact on trade. Policy developments that have stimulated trade flows have led to the increasing participation of developing countries in international markets as exporters. The gains are expected to continue, albeit at a slower pace, in the context of any agreement of the ongoing Doha Development Round.

Increased instability in global meat markets as a result of animal disease outbreaks and escalating human health concerns

Meat markets have become more unstable as a result of human health concerns related to bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE), avian influenza (AI), antibiotics in feed and a host of other non-trade issues. As animal densities increase and production and slaughtering systems change, disease outbreaks are becoming increasingly prevalent and widespread. In particular, the magnitude and the impact of these disease outbreaks have accelerated over the past five years with the imposition of disease-related import restrictions, which had an immediate and visible impact on world meat trade.

The role of trade in the global meat economy

The evolution of meat trade since the 1990s has been, for the most part, resilient and dynamic, supported by developments in transportation, cold chain, and meat processing and packaging technology, which have all combined to push up trade nearly threefold since 1990 to an estimated 20 million tonnes in 2005. Meat trade as a share of production over this period rose from 5% to 8%. While gains in the volume of meat trade have exceeded most other agricultural products, the total value of global livestock and meat trade since 1990 has grown much more slowly than absolute trade volumes. This value was estimated at US$ 72 billion (source: FAOSTAT – http://faostat.fao.org) in 2004, which is up less than half from the 1990 level. The slower growth in the value versus the volume of trade is an indicator of the declining prices of meat and the changing meat product composition of livestock markets that have stimulated both trade and meat consumption around the globe. These price declines have been facilitated by technology and the ability of meat processors to produce a diverse combination of product cuts that are priced to sell to consumers in different markets characterised by a wide range of preferences and tastes.

Much of the growth in meat trade has been driven by growing demand for poultry cuts, due to both health and economic factors, such as lower relative prices. Poultry’s share of the volume of global meat trade rose from 22% in 1990 to over 40% by 2005 (Fig. 3). Pork and beef trade has also grown, albeit more slowly, with increasing market access provisions under the WTO, as well as a plethora of bilateral and regional trade agreements.
Disease-related trade restrictions increasingly shape animal product markets

Over the past five years, the resilience of international meat markets has frequently been tested by the increasing and pervasive impacts of animal disease outbreaks. While many animal diseases, particularly foot and mouth disease (FMD) and most types of AI, are endemic in many parts of the developing world, only since 2001 has the severity of outbreaks of FMD (in Europe and Latin America) and more recently AI outbreaks in Asia (which have moved westward into Europe) had a significant impact on international meat markets.

Market disturbances induced by animal disease outbreaks have affected consumption and meat trading patterns, altered relative meat prices, and imposed ripple effects transcending the direct impact on livestock industries by imposing higher costs on the input industries and the broader economy. Worldwide import bans on meat from disease-infected areas, combined with heightened border inspections and testing, have limited trade gains over recent years, from the 7% annual gains witnessed during the late 1990s to only 2% annually over the past few years.

As a result of these outbreaks, meat producers, processors, traders and policy-makers are facing unprecedented challenges. Despite a context of relatively robust economic growth and growing demand for meat products, animal diseases are resulting in production losses and food safety-induced consumption shifts which limit potential industry gains. Trade disruptions resulting from import bans reinforce market segmentation, resulting in diverging meat prices within and between countries, and among products. Furthermore, the increasing complexity of global markets, the uncertain nature of consumer demand, and the often prolonged and capricious imposition of market access conditions make it difficult to assess the duration and magnitude of a short-term market shock. Animal health and food safety issues, as well as those related to product quality, are expected to increase in complexity over the next decade, influencing consumption patterns and market access by competitive suppliers to global meat markets.

Prior to 2000, isolated disease outbreaks had short-lived and less dramatic impacts on global markets. These included the United Kingdom (UK) BSE crisis which since the mid-1990s, while causing temporary shifts in world beef demand to other meat products due to human health concerns, has had only a limited direct impact on beef shipments as the UK was not a significant exporter outside the European Union (EU). Similarly, in 1997, the EU classical swine fever outbreak significantly affected intra-EU trade, as the Netherlands was a major exporter of pork and live pigs within the EU, but global pork trade was largely unaffected since the Netherlands, as well as Germany, Belgium, France, Italy and Spain, were not major exporters of pork outside the EU. By contrast, the global pigmeat market was affected by the outbreak of foot and mouth disease in Taipei China when its pork exports, valued in 1996 at US$ 1.6 billion, fell to US$ 234 million in 1997. The broader impact of the disease was the major realignment of pigmeat destined for the Japanese market. The drop in product movement from Taipei China resulted in expanding pork shipments into the Japanese market from the United States of America (USA), Denmark, Canada and the Republic of Korea. However, the Republic of Korea’s outbreak of foot and mouth disease in 2000 ended its recent growth in trade with Japan, valued at US$ 300 million, allowing other major exporters to increase their share of the Japanese market.

These relatively isolated animal disease outbreaks do not appear to have resulted in long-term market disruptions. This is partly because reduced exportable supplies from one producing country have typically been compensated by rapid increases in supplies elsewhere. From an international trade perspective, the increasingly pervasive outbreaks of transboundary animal diseases over the past five years and the consequential imposition of disease-related export restrictions have had much more immediate and visible impacts on world meat trade.
Foot and mouth disease in the United Kingdom and Latin America

In 2001 the severity and visibility of the FMD pandemic that affected major meat markets led to countries around the globe closing their borders to at least one-quarter of world beef trade and nearly 40% of global pork exports. These were imposed firstly to meat products originating in the countries of the EU and, later on, to those from Argentina, Uruguay, and parts of Brazil.

Global meat trade, disrupted by temporary market closures and food-safety-induced shifts in consumer preferences, grew only fractionally, registering the slowest gains in 13 years. Beef trade, in particular, destabilised by FMD outbreaks and escalating reports of BSE cases outside the UK, fell by 3% while demand and prices for meats other than beef, particularly poultry, rose. Trade losses for Argentina and Uruguay are estimated at US$ 400 million and US$ 150 million respectively. While the value of lost trade opportunities for the UK meat industries is estimated at only US$ 300 million, this amount pales compared to the total cost of the disease outbreak to the economy, estimated at US$ 9.2 billion (Table I).

While trade, with the exception of some markets for Argentinean beef, has recovered, the magnitude of the consequential losses, in particular in the UK, highlights the serious adverse impact that animal disease can have for the wider economy and the process of economic growth in both developed and developing countries.

The avian influenza epidemic

In 2004 and 2005, the impact of animal disease on global meat markets was acute, leading to price shocks, shifting consumption patterns and the first decline in global meat trade since the mid-1980s. In particular, poultry markets were affected with export shortages due to AI in Asia and higher prices leading to an unprecedented 8% decline in global poultry trade. Limitations on fresh/chilled/frozen products from disease-affected Asian exporters (in particular Thailand and the People's Republic of China) caused a decline in Asian exports from 1.8 million tonnes in 2003 to less than 1 million tonnes in 2004, a loss of approximately US$ 1 billion of export earnings for the region. These AI outbreaks followed on the heels of an H7N7 AI outbreak in the Netherlands in 2003 that, although quickly contained, cost the government nearly €150 million. The Dutch Agricultural Research Institute estimates that total costs for the Dutch farm sector, including related industries, were 500 million. While the impact of the outbreak reduced EU poultry production slightly in 2003, there was only a limited impact on global markets, with most of the impact on intra-European regional trade flows.

Table I  
Cost of recent animal disease outbreaks (US$ million) (FAO study [1])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation</td>
<td>2,433</td>
<td>1,183</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>2,223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control measures</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>2,433</td>
<td>1,321</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural sector</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Related industries</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>4,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>1,395</td>
<td>1,019</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total costs</td>
<td>3,828</td>
<td>2,340</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>9,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact on GDP</td>
<td>- 0.4% a)</td>
<td>- 0.75%</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>- 0.2% b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost to public sector</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost to private sector</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
<td>56.5%</td>
<td>75.0%</td>
<td>61.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) – 0.1% to – 0.2% if the cost of compensation, which accounts for 64% of total costs, is excluded
b) the impact on United Kingdom (UK) gross domestic product (GDP) is relatively low because the cancellation of tourism and leisure to the countryside (53% of total costs), was largely offset by increased consumer spending in other sectors of the UK economy (4)
BSE: bovine spongiform encephalopathy
CSF: classical swine fever
n.a.: not available
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It is clear that meat markets affected by animal disease outbreaks are characterised by considerable instability as governments are forced to adopt policies to protect their livestock sectors, including import bans, tighter sanitary border control measures, and stronger domestic regulations. Prices tend to become more volatile as importers scramble to procure meat products from disease-free zones. In 2001, beef trade dropped; however, some of the pressure on international beef prices was mitigated by a shift in trading patterns with non-disease affected markets increasing shipments and stronger import demand for other meats. In 2004, however, the pervasive impact of AI outbreaks led to a more than 30% increase in international poultry prices. The overall price impact on poultry prices has been additionally aggravated by shortages of other meats, particularly beef from North America; a region that, while traditionally supplying one-quarter of world beef trade, faced bans over the same period (2004-2005) from many countries due to BSE-concerns. Recent market developments since late 2005, however, have dramatically changed price developments in international meat markets. In particular, AI outbreaks in approximately 40 previously unaffected countries, many of which are the major poultry consuming and importing countries of Europe, the Middle East, and Africa, have prompted a decline in the FAO poultry price index by 22 points (Fig. 4). Dramatic consumer responses as consumers shifted their consumption patterns to include alternative protein sources are affecting market developments in global meat markets in 2006.

Policy challenges related to animal disease outbreaks

Key to the challenges being confronted by industries is the policy dimensions surrounding the issue of controlling animal diseases. Until recently animal diseases have been relatively localised in terms of their cost and impact on international livestock markets. Increasingly, as livestock production and trade have grown and markets have become more integrated, it is clear that national commodity strategies addressing animal disease issues need to be reinforced by international policies and guidelines that facilitate livestock trade while providing guidance on animal disease prevention and control.

Policy-makers need to have a good understanding of the overall market impact of animal diseases, both globally and locally, to be able to attribute costs to various sectors of the economies, covering producers, traders, feed and service industries, as well as the general economy etc., and to understand the socio-economic/equity implications of policy interventions which potentially reshape the structure of livestock industries. Animal disease outbreaks need to be recognised as problems that affect economies as a whole, with policy intervention developed within a context of comprehensive cost information and tailored to deal with their socio-economic consequences. The development of tools to understand and analyse these linkages requires a solid understanding of how local livestock markets are linked to other sectors of the economy and to international markets. The next sections identify some of the factors determining those linkages and attempt to analyse the impact of further animal disease outbreaks on both local and international markets.

What puts global markets at risk from localised disease outbreaks?

The livestock sector is rapidly globalising as meat demand increases, structures of production change, and trade
increases not only in absolute volume but also as a share of
global production. In addition, the segmentation of meat
markets that previously characterised trading patterns is
gradually being eroded by disease eradication, policies on
zoning and technologies that allow exporters to ship
products in a form that minimises the risk of disease
transmission, e.g. cooked product or beef aged to reduced
FMD risk. Consequently, the impacts of localised animal
disease outbreaks are quickly transposed into the global
marketplace as a result of diverging trading patterns,
changing consumption patterns (including shifts between
different meats), and price shocks. Some of the key factors
influencing the overall duration and impact of animal
diseases are discussed below

Disease type and consumer response to
potential human health issues

The potential risks of animal to human disease transmission
posed by zoonotic diseases, including the H5N1 AI virus
and BSE which is linked to variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob
disease, have had a more durable impact on global meat
markets than those resulting from other animal diseases
such as FMD or non-H5N1 AI outbreaks. A case in point is
the prolonged ban on North American beef after the
discovery of three BSE-infected cows in 2003. The USA and
Canada normally account for more than one-quarter of
global beef exports (around 1.6 million tonnes, valued at
approximately US$ 4 billion). Two-year bans by major
Asian importing countries contributed to nearly 20% gains
in international beef prices over the same period. History
seems to reveal that changing consumption and trade
patterns related to zoonotic animal diseases tend to recover
within two years. However, consumer and government
responses to the human health implications of animal
diseases make any type of market assessment of the overall
impacts extremely complicated because of the difficulties of
estimating consumption impacts in different markets.

### Disease outbreak location/
duration and global market concentration

Despite the increasing shift in the percentage of global
trade being provided by developing countries and growing
export gains from non-traditional middle-income
exporters, the degree of market concentration in the global

### Table IIa
Largest meat exporters: share of global trade (percentage)

|       | Poultry |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|       | United States of America | Brazil | European Union (EU-15) | People’s Republic of China Including Hong Kong | Thailand | Total market share of the five largest exporters | Developed countries | Developing countries |
| 1980-1990 | 29 | 21 | 31 | 1 | 5 | 87 | 67 | 33 |
| 1990-2000 | 41 | 12 | 17 | 9 | 5 | 84 | 66 | 34 |
| 2000-2004 | 36 | 22 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 84 | 56 | 44 |
| 2004     | 34 | 36 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 87 | 52 | 48 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bovine meat</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>United States of America</th>
<th>European Union (EU-15)</th>
<th>New Zealand</th>
<th>Brazil</th>
<th>Total market share of the five largest exporters</th>
<th>Developed countries</th>
<th>Developing countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980-1990</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990-2000</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2004</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pigmeat</th>
<th>European Union (EU-15)</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>United States of America</th>
<th>People’s Republic of China</th>
<th>Brazil</th>
<th>Total market share of the five largest exporters</th>
<th>Developed countries</th>
<th>Developing countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980-1990</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990-2000</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2004</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total meat</th>
<th>United States of America</th>
<th>Brazil</th>
<th>European Union (EU-15)</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>Total market share of the five largest exporters</th>
<th>Developed countries</th>
<th>Developing countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980-1990</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990-2000</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2004</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
meat market is high, with five major exporters accounting for nearly three-quarters of global meat exports (Table II). This high degree of concentration in global meat markets, in the context of any market shocks, including those resulting from animal disease outbreaks, can translate into large swings in international prices. Of particular note is the rapid escalation of meat exports from Brazil, which has risen from less than 10% of global meat trade in 2000 to an estimated 35% by 2005.

## Links to international markets

The extent to which a country or region is impacted by a disease is dependent on their linkages to international livestock markets and the meat product trade.

A heavy export dependency by some countries can lead to significant market disruptions, both internationally and domestically. Such examples include the Canadian cattle industry which exported 12% of their live animals and nearly 50% of total beef production prior to the identification of a BSE-infected animal in May 2003. After two years, at a cost of over US$ 4 billion, exports of meat are finally recovering but live animal exports are still languishing. In 2003 alone, the value of Canadian beef and cattle exports declined by over US$ 1.3 billion. In Asia, the Thai poultry industry, prior to the AI outbreaks in late 2003 was the fourth largest poultry exporter after the USA, Brazil, and the EU. With approximately 40% of Thailand’s estimated production of 1.5 million tonnes destined for export markets, market closures resulted in a 40% decline in export earnings in 2004, from US$ 1.1 billion to US$ 674 million. Such trade losses, the cost of animal disease surveillance and control, and livelihood losses to poultry producing households are estimated to have resulted in a 1.5% gross domestic product (GDP) loss for the country (2).

The net trade position of a major trading country and the trade share of production/consumption will also determine how localised the impact of an animal disease will be, as well as how global trading patterns are likely to be shaped. Animal disease outbreaks in countries that are both large importers and exporters of the same products, such as the USA for beef or the EU for poultry, have a very different impact on global markets than outbreaks in countries that are only exporters. For example, the discovery of BSE-infected cattle in Canada and the USA resulted in different impacts in the two markets due to differing characteristics of the two respective beef markets. While the USA is one of the world’s largest beef exporters, exports account for only 10% of production and it is a net beef and live cattle

### Table IIb
Large meat importers: share of global trade (percentage)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poultry</th>
<th>Russia</th>
<th>Hong Kong</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>People’s Republic of China</th>
<th>European Union (EU-15)</th>
<th>Total market share of the five largest importers</th>
<th>Developed countries</th>
<th>Developing countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980-1990</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990-2000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2004</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bovine meat</th>
<th>United States of America</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>Russia</th>
<th>People’s Republic of China</th>
<th>European Union (EU-15)</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Total market share of the five largest importers</th>
<th>Developed countries</th>
<th>Developing countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980-1990</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990-2000</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2004</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pigmeat</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>Russia</th>
<th>United States of America</th>
<th>People’s Republic of China including Hong Kong</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Total market share of the five largest importers</th>
<th>Developed countries</th>
<th>Developing countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980-1990</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>n.a</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990-2000</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2004</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total meat</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>United States of America</th>
<th>European Union (EU-15)</th>
<th>People’s Republic of China including Hong Kong</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Total market share of the five largest importers</th>
<th>Developed countries</th>
<th>Developing countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980-1990</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990-2000</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2004</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
importer. The value of US beef exports, as a result of the
discovery of two BSE-cows led to a drop of export earnings
of US$ 2.6 billion in 2004 while the absence of US beef in
global markets contributed to higher international prices.
However, domestic prices remained relatively high as
imports adjusted. This contrasts with the domestic impact
in Canada where a more dramatic dependence on
international export markets, as indicated above,
immediately translated into cattle prices dropping by
approximately 50% and reduction of cattle and calf receipts
for 2003 by 33% from the previous year’s level (3). Since
Canada is not a net beef importing country, imports could
not adjust sufficiently to maintain stable prices.

In the case of countries which are neither importers nor
exporters, the impact of an animal disease outbreak will be
linked to the structure of the industry and the contribution
of the livestock sector to GDP. The extent of the losses
beyond the production sector depends on linkages with
other sectors within the agricultural supply chain. In most
cases, animal disease outbreaks in these cases will only
have a limited impact on international markets, with the
glaring exception being the potential consumption shocks
generated by the H5N1 AI which is aggravated by the
human-to-human health concerns.

Industry structure and
the degree to which the industry is linked
to other sectors of the economy

Livestock industries in many developed, and increasingly
developing countries, have a high degree of vertical linkages
with upstream and downstream industries as well as
horizontal linkages between industries in different countries
through foreign investment. Livestock industries can be
significant users of raw materials from upstream industries
and are a major provider of raw materials for downstream
industries. Any shock to highly concentrated industries that
undertake further processing and move packaged products
to numerous outlets around the country will have knock-on
effects throughout the supply chain. Consequently, the
broader impact of animal diseases needs to be examined to
identify the market impacts on other sectors of the economy.

Further outbreaks
of avian influenza: what are
the market implications?

Identifying the scenario

After the AI outbreaks of 2004 and 2005 and BSE-
concerns about North American beef over the same period,
meat markets are expected to recover in 2006, with
consumption rising and increased production in disease-
free countries mitigating the pressure for upward price
movements. However, considerable uncertainty clouds any
potential market impact of further outbreaks of AI,
particularly in Europe where its close proximity to recent
outbreaks raises concerns about the risk of disease
transmission. In the current situation, a key issue for the
global poultry sector is the vulnerability of poultry markets
to any major market shocks, in particular any potential
outbreak in the EU, a significant player in world poultry
markets. This has led the Food and Agriculture
Organization (FAO) to evaluate the impact that any
extensive outbreak of avian influenza that spreads over the
major EU producing countries (five countries account for
two-thirds of EU poultry production: France, the UK,
Spain, Germany and Italy) would have on global poultry
markets as countries around the globe ban imports
from the EU (a summary of this evaluation, including the
difficulties of undertaking such a study, is discussed below).

Reviewing any complicating factors

Any straightforward assessment of the potential global
impact of AI in Europe is, however, complicated by the
recent outbreaks of FMD in Brazil – the world’s largest
meat exporter of both beef and poultry – which will also
influence world meat markets over the short term. The
market impact of poultry shortages in international
markets, in particular relative price movements, would be
heightened by reduced exportable beef supplies from
Brazil which was expected to account for more than one-
quarter of the global beef shipments in 2005. The
combination of these two events would be expected to put
considerable upward pressure on all meat prices, similar to
the situation in 2004 when the absence of North American
beef due to BSE-concerns led to hikes in all meat prices.

A factor complicating the analysis is the net trade position
of a country. Whereas Brazil has limited imports, the EU is
both a major importer and exporter of poultry meat.
The EU ships approximately 1 million tonnes of
fresh/chilled/frozen poultry products, valued at over
US$ 1 billion, to more than 150 markets around the world
with three-quarters of these shipments destined for Russia
(23%), Middle Eastern markets (27%) and developing
countries in Africa (26%). Meanwhile, they also import
approximately 500,000 tonnes of frozen fillets and other
chicken products. These imports would be expected
to drop as internal EU prices decline relative to rising
world prices. Similarly, the EU is increasingly a net beef
importer. With a large percentage of imports sourced from
FMD-affected Brazil, any shortfalls would prompt a rise
in domestic beef prices as bans are imposed on Brazilian
beef products.
Working through the possible market impacts of a scenario analysis

With the EU accounting for approximately 13% of global poultry production and exports, and Brazil supplying nearly one-third of global beef shipments, international poultry and beef prices would be expected to move up sharply. Meanwhile, internal prices in these two disease-affected markets would decline as would production prospects and feed prices as meat products intended for exports, approximately 10% of production for EU poultry and 25% of Brazilian beef, move back into local markets. Differing production structures for the two livestock species, in particular the ability of producers to hold cattle back from slaughter, could, however, imply different supply availabilities on local markets.

Measuring the impacts of a potential market shock

Assessing the overall impact of an animal disease on both global meat markets and other sectors, such as the feed industry, ideally necessitates the use of a framework that links markets, both spatially and cross-commodity. In the absence of such a framework, it is somewhat difficult to disentangle the impacts that specific disease outbreaks have because of the complexities in determining trade developments that would have occurred in the absence of disease outbreaks. To evaluate the short-term global impact of a potential outbreak of AI in the EU, FAO’s short-term commodity model (STM) was used. The STM is a dynamic, multi-commodity, partial-equilibrium, global trade model, which provides one-year-ahead projections for demand, imports, exports, stocks and prices, given predetermined supply for 18 basic foodstuffs, covering 50 countries /regions. Four meat categories, sub-divided into FMD-affected and FMD-free markets, are included in the model alongside a comprehensive coverage of the feed sector. Changes in real income, population and exchange rates are the principal exogenous variables driving global agricultural commodity markets.

Conditioning the impact assessment are the various assumptions underpinning the analysis. The scenario in the FAO study assumes that AI outbreaks in the EU are spread out over the major producing areas, thus inducing import bans on poultry products from the entire region. Producers in the EU, in response to lower prices, are expected to lower production levels. While AI is expected to result in changes in poultry consumption as consumers shift to alternative protein sources, it is assumed that this is only of a short duration as risk communication strategies ensure that consumers are aware of the minimal risks of avian influenza transmission through poultry consumption. Consequently poultry consumption over the period of the shock is assumed to remain relatively stable.

This scenario evaluates the impact of two major shocks to global meat markets that are imposed exogenously:

a) EU poultry exports drop to 0 from 1 million tonnes while imports adjust based on demand

b) Brazilian exports of beef decline by 200,000 tonnes (down 10% from their projected exports of 1.8 million tonnes). (This assumption is a moderate case scenario which reflects Mato Grosso do Sul’s and Paraná’s [the FMD-affected states] position as suppliers of nearly half of Brazil’s beef exports. In actual fact, due to the FMD outbreak in October 2005, Brazilian beef exports in 2005 grew at only 16%, half of the trade gains registered over the past five years and less than FAO’s estimated gains of nearly 22%).

Market implications

Any extensive AI outbreak in the EU, combined with reduced exportable beef supplies from Brazil, would have immediate implications on global meat and feed markets. Preliminary results of the FAO analysis indicate that the potential short-term impact would be higher meat prices for all meats on world markets (ranging from 9% and 11% for poultry and beef respectively and 6% for pigmeat), lower global meat consumption, and a shift in trading patterns with some markets moving to fill the gap left by Europe (for chicken) and Brazil (for beef). In addition, spillover effects would be evident in the feed industry as lower meat production pushes down grain and protein feed consumption, resulting in price drops of 2% and 5%, respectively (Table III).

The results of this short-term analysis have been shaped on the basis of rather extreme assumptions including the total loss of the EU export market in the context of AI outbreaks and expectations that European consumers and others will not reduce their consumption of poultry products. In fact, poultry consumption in the EU was already affected in 2005 despite the absence of an actual outbreak in the EU. Sales of poultry dropped in many European countries with poultry prices, production, and feed use reported down. In addition, trade flows within Europe have been affected with markets such as the Netherlands, heavily dependent on intra-European trade, reporting poultry price declines of up to 25% and falling animal feed exports.

With potential outbreaks and consumer responses uncertain, the above scenario is only one possible impact assessment. Consumption responses are very difficult to anticipate as is the ability of other major exporting
countries, particularly the USA and Brazil who supply nearly 70% of global poultry trade, to step up production and exports of poultry meat in the short-term. The ability of these countries to respond to market shocks and higher prices would obviously mitigate upward price shocks. This, of course, assumes that there are no supply constraints in these countries and they themselves do not experience any AI outbreaks.

Conclusions

International meat markets have been increasingly affected by animal disease outbreaks which have caused trade diversion and shifting market shares between exporters of the same and different types of meat products. History as an indicator has, however, shown us that global meat markets are very resilient to these shocks, with markets typically recovering within a few years. The short-term costs to economies, however, are considerable and even short-term market impacts have long-term implications for trading patterns, policy formulation and industry and sector development. Increasing recognition is being paid to the fact that the continual emergence and re-emergence of disease outbreaks are increasingly resulting in frequent short-term market disruptions which impose significant costs to producers, industries, and economies around the globe. Economic models are a useful tool that take into account the factors affecting the market fundamentals and may provide useful indications of the potential impact on livestock prices and trading patterns.

The broader implications of the proliferation of animal diseases, including an assessment of the localised costs of the animal disease outbreaks, cannot, however, be measured by an econometric model. Increasing attention is being placed on the costs, both direct and indirect, of animal diseases for producers, industries and consumers, as well as to the broader economy. A previous review undertaken by FAO (1) demonstrates the enormous financial and economic losses that can accrue to both developed and developing nations following the outbreak of a transboundary animal disease (Fig. 5). In many cases, the costs of animal diseases to the livelihoods of households and industries linked to the livestock sector are underestimated.

One of the long-term consequences of the costs imposed by animal diseases is that longer-term investment in the
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sector will be shaped by the increased market volatility engendered by disease outbreaks. Whereas in developed countries, governments have the ability to compensate a given sector, the invisible impact of such diseases in developing countries on small producers have implications for livelihoods and food security. Increasingly, and very acutely in the case of AI which has implications for human health, policy-makers are faced with the difficult question of how the livestock sector should be structured and what needs to be done to limit the damaging impact of animal disease outbreaks.

Impact des maladies animales sur les échanges internationaux d’animaux d’élevage et de leurs produits

N. Morgan & A. Prakash

Résumé
Partout dans le monde, la gravité et l’extension croissantes des foyers épizootiques posent d’immenses difficultés aux éleveurs, au secteur agroalimentaire et aux responsables politiques, dans un contexte d’augmentation constante de la demande en produits d’origine animale issus des productions locales ou des marchés internationaux. Après avoir expliqué les facteurs et les tendances qui soutiennent la croissance du marché de la viande depuis une dizaine d’années, les auteurs évaluent l’impact des maladies animales sur les échanges internationaux. Les facteurs déterminant que l’impact d’une épizootie puisse se répercuter sur les marchés mondiaux, puis affecter de nouveau le marché intérieur d’un pays, sont ainsi identifiés ; l’impact potentiel de nouveaux foyers épizootiques sur le marché mondial est également évalué.

Mots-clés
Influencia de las enfermedades animales en los mercados agropecuarios internacionales

N. Morgan & A. Prakash

**Resumen**
En todo el planeta, la proliferación de brotes zoosanitarios cada vez más agresivos plantea considerables problemas al sector de la producción y la industria agropecuarias, así como a los organismos de planificación, en un contexto en el que viene aumentando, sin prisa pero sin pausa, la demanda de productos ganaderos, ya sean importados o de origen local. Tras describir los factores y tendencias que han impulsado el crecimiento del comercio de carne en el último decenio, los autores evalúan la influencia de la situación zoosanitaria en los mercados internacionales. También examinan los factores que hacen que las repercusiones de las enfermedades animales se transmitan a los mercados mundiales para después incidir de vuelta en los mercados nacionales. Asimismo, evalúan las posibles consecuencias de nuevos brotes zoosanitarios para los mercados mundiales.
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